

# आयुक्त ( अपील ) का कार्यालय,

Office of the Commissioner (Appeal), केंद्रीय जीएसटी, अपील आयुक्तालय, अहमदाबाद Central GST, Appeal Commissionerate, Ahmedabad जीएसटी भवन, राजस्व मार्ग, अम्बावाड़ी अहमदाबाद ३८००१%. CGST Bhavan, Revenue Marg, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad 380015 07926305065- टेलेफैक्स07926305136 MÁTION TAX MARKET

DIN- 202305645W00006666CD7 रजिस्टर्ड डाक ए.डी. द्वारा

क	फाइल संख्या : File No : <u>GAPPL/ADC/GSTD/404/2022 -APPEAL</u>	Ί.	1800	-1	1	

ख अपील आदेश संख्या Order-In-Appeal Nos. AHM-CGST-001-APP-ADC-23/2023-24 दिनाँक Date :30-05-2023 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue : 30-05-2023

श्री मिहिर रायका\_अपर आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित

Passed by Shri. Mihir Rayka, Additional Commissioner (Appeals)

Arising out of Order-in-Original No. ZX240522019641 DT. 24.05.2022 issued by The Assistant Commissioner, CGST, Division-V, Ahmedabad South

Λ

अपीलकर्ता का नाम एवं पत्ता Name & Address of the Appellant / Respondent

Г	Appellant		Respondent		
	The Ass CGST, D	istant Commissioner, Division-V, Ahmedabad South	M/s. Swastik Enterprise,46, Road No.2, GIDC, Kathwada,Ahmedabad-382430		
	(A)	way.	।। ह। eal may file an appeal to the appropriate authority in the following		
(1)		one of the issues involved relates to place	ellate Tribunal framed under GST Act/CGST Act in the cases where of supply as per Section 109(5) of CGST Act, 2017.		
<u>(i)</u> (ii)		para- (A)(I) above in terms of Section 105(A			
<u>(iii)</u>		difference in Tax or Input Tax Credit invol appealed against, subject to a maximum o	iled as prescribed under Rule 110 of CGST Rules, 2017 and shall be nd for every Rs. One Lakh of Tax or Input Tax Credit involved or the ved or the amount of fine, fee or penalty determined in the order f Rs. Twenty-Five Thousand.		
(B)	).	Appeal under Section 112(1) of CGST A documents either electronically or as may 05, on common portal as prescribed unde of the order appealed against within sever	ct, 2017 to Appellate Tribunal shall be filed along with relevant / be notified by the Registrar, Appellate Tribunal in FORM GST APL- r Rule 110 of CGST Rules, 2017, and shall be accompanied by a copy n days of filing FORM GST APL-05 online.		
(i)		Appeal to be filed before Appellate Tribur (i) <u>Full amount of Tax, Interest</u> admitted/accepted by the appu (ii) A sum equal to <u>twenty five per c</u> addition to the amount paid under relation to which the appeal has b	al under Section 112(8) of the CGST Act, 2017 after paying , <u>Fine, Fee and Penalty</u> arising from the impugned order, as is ellant, and <u>ent</u> of the remaining er Section 107(6) of CGST Act, 2017, arising from the said order, in een filed.		
(11	)	The Central Goods & Service Tax ( Ninth that the appeal to tribunal can be made date on which the President or the Stat office, whichever is later.	within three months from the date of communication of Order or within three months from the date of the Appellate Tribunal enters re President, as the case may be, of the Appellate Tribunal enters		
(0	:)	ा <del>ट्रिल आहिताओं</del> विभागाय वेबसाइटwww.u	icions relating to filing of appeal to the appellate authority, the		
		appendit tity totol to a totol to a totol			



GAPPL/ADC/GSTD/404/2022

### ORDER IN APPEAL

The Assistant Commissioner, CGST, Division V, Ahmedabad South (hereinafter referred to as the '*Appellant/Department*') in terms of Review Order No. 50/2022-23 dated 23.11.2022 issued under Section 107 of the CGST Act, 2017, has filed the present appeal offline in terms of Advisory No.9/2020 dated 24.09.2020 issued by the Additional Director General (Systems), Bengaluru. The appeal is filed against Order No. ZX2405220319641 dated 24.05.2022 (hereinafter referred to as the '*Impugned Order*') passed in Form-GST-RFD-06 by the Assistant Commissioner, CGST, Division V, Ahmedabad South (hereinafter referred to as the '*Adjudicating Authority*') sanctioning refund to **M/s. Swastik Enterprise,** 46, Road No. 2, GIDC, Kathwada, Ahmedabad – 382 430 (hereinafter referred to as the '*Respondent*').

**2(i).** Briefly stated the fact of the case is that the *Respondent* registered under GSTN No.24ABSFS6359K1ZD had filed refund claim of Rs.4,83,005/- for the period of April'21 to June'21 for refund of ITC accumulated due to export of goods/services without payment of tax vide ARN No. AA240422014616N dated 05.04.22. After verification, the *Adjudicating Authority* had found some discrepancies and issued SCN on 29.04.2022 on the ground that *"Wrong zero rated and Adjusted turnover"*. The claimant had replied to the SCN on 13.05.22 and accordingly, the *Adjudicating Authority* has sanctioned an amount of Rs.4,83,005/- to the claimant vide *impugned order* dated 24.05.2022.

1

GAPPL/ADC/GSTD/404/2022

of Rs.4,83,005/- as sanctioned by the sanctioning authority. Thus, there is excess sanction of Refund of Rs.67,708/- to the claimant which is required to be recovered along with interest.

1	Urnover of Zero rated supply (1)	Adjusted Total Turnover of supply as per GSTR-3B (2)	Net ITC (3)	Refund Amount Sanctioned (4)	Refund Amount Admissible (5) (1*3/2)	Excess Refund Amount sanctioned (6)
	5969516	9177568	638481	483005	415297	67708

**3.** In view of above facts, the *Appellant/Department* has filed the present appeal on following grounds:

- Adjudicating Authority has considered Rs.78,91,057/- as Adjusted Total Turnover instead of correct amount of Adjusted Total Turnover of Rs.91,77,568/- in the prescribed formula. This has resulted into sanction of excess refund of Rs.67,708/-.

- The Adjusted Total Turnover is calculated considering FOB Value, which is lower than Invoice Value, however, the same should be Invoice Value instead of FOB value. Also, the invoice value is applicable in calculating Adjusted total turnover and not FOB Value in terms of Rule 89 (4) of CGST Rules, 2017 read with sub-section (112) of Section 2 of CGST Act, 2017.

Rule 89(4) of the CGST Rules, 2017 prescribes the formula for computing the admissible amount of refund of unutilized ITC on account of zero rated supplies made without payment of tax. Same is reproduced as under : Refund Amount = (Turnover of zero-rated supply of goods + Turnover of zero-rated supply of services) x Net ITC ÷ Adjusted Total Turnover

 "Adjusted Total Turnover" has been defined in clause (E) of Rule 89(4) of CGST Rules, 2017 as under :

(E) "Adjusted Total Turnover" means the sum total of the value of(a) the turnover in a State or a Union territory, as defined
under clause (112) of section 2, excluding the turnover of services;

"Turnover in state or turnover in Union territory" as referred to in the definition of "Adjusted Total Turnover" in Rule 89(4) has been defined under sub-section (112) of Section 2 of CGST Act, 2017, as

"turnover in State" or "turnover in Union territory" means the aggregate value of all taxable supplies (excluding the value of inward supplies on which tax is payable by a person on reverse charge basis) and exempt supplies made within a State or Union territory by a taxable person, exports of goods or services or both and inter-State supplies of goods or

vices or both made from the State or Union territory by the said taxable person but excludes central tax, State tax, Union territory tax, integrated and

2

- Further, para 8 of Notification No. 14/2022 Central Tax dated 05.07.2022, in Rule 89, (c) in sub-rule (4) has been amended where the following Explanation has been inserted, namely :
- Explanation. For the purposes of this sub-rule, the value of goods exported out of India shall be taken as –
  - (i) the Free on Board (FOB) value declared in the Shipping Bill or Bill of Export form, as the case may be, as per the Shipping Bill and Bill of Export (Forms) Regulations, 2017; or

the value declared in tax invoice or bill of supply, whichever is less." (ii) In view of above, the appellant/department has made prayer as under:

- To set aside the impugned order, wherein adjudicating authority has i. erroneously sanctioned Rs.4,83,005/- instead of Rs.4,15,297/-, under Section 54(3) of the CGST Act, 2017.
- To pass an order directing the said original authority to recover and ii. appropriate erroneously the amount refunded of Rs.67,708/-(Rs,4,83,005/--Rs,4,15,297/-) with interest.

To pass any other order(s) as deemed fit in the interest of justice. iii.

Personal hearing in the present matter was held on dated 4. 04.01.2023, wherein Mr. Vijaykumar P. Ranparia, CA appeared on behalf of the Respondent as authorised representative. During PH he has submitted written submission dated 04.01.23 with calculation sheet. He has further stated that they have nothing more to add to their written submission till date. The Respondent in their aforesaid written submission has referred Rule 89(4) of the CGST Rules, 2017. The Respondent has also referred Notification No. 14/2022-Central Tax dated 05.07.2022. The Respondent has mainly contended that -

- They have taken FOB value for value of goods exported in refund application for April'21 to June'21 and said application sanctioned vide impugned order dated 24.05.2022 with refund amount of Rs.4,83,005/-
- They have objection with respect to calculation of refund as calculated in appeal at para No. 1.8 in Statement of Facts, considering the value declared in tax invoice/bill of supply instead of FOB value for goods exported.

If taken tax invoice/bill of supply instead of FOB value for goods exported Goods of Export at tax invoice/bill of supply of Rs.72,56,024.89 Goods of Taxable Supply of <u>Rs. 19,21,541.20</u> of <u>Rs. 91, 77,566.09</u> of <u>Rs. 6,38,481.22</u> Adjusted Total Turnover Net Input Tax Credit भाचुततीलद

3

) SIE HEAD

Refund Amount = (Turnover of zero-rated supply of goods + Turnover of zero-rated supply of services) x Net ITC ÷ Adjusted Total Turnover

# = <u>72,56,024 \* 6,38,481</u>

## 91,77,566

#### = 5,04,800/-

In view of above submissions, the *Respondent* has made prayer that the *impugned order* may be consider or set aside the same and sanctioned refund of Rs.5,04,800/-.

#### **Discussion and Findings:**

**5.** I have carefully gone through the facts of the case, grounds of appeal, submissions made by the *Respondent* and documents available on record. I find that in the present case appeal is filed against *impugned order* wherein refund of accumulated ITC due to export without payment of tax amounting to Rs.4,83,005/- was sanctioned. The *appellant/department* in the present appeal mainly contended that the Adjusted Total Turnover is the *"sum total of the value of the turnover in a state or a Union territory, as defined under clause 112 of Section 2 of the CGST Act, 2017" i.e. inclusive of Zero Rated supplies and Local supplies and the <i>adjudicating authority* has considered value of zero rated supplies as per FOB Value instead of Invoice Value; accordingly, granted excess amount of refund of Rs.67,708/- to the *Respondent*.

**6.** I refer para 4 of CBIC Circular NO.147/03/2021-GST dated 12-3-2021, wherein Board has given guidelines for calculation of adjusted total turnover in an identical issue as under :

4. The manner of calculation of Adjusted Total Turnover under sub-rule(4) of Rule 89 of CGST Rules, 2017.

**4.1** Doubts have been raised as to whether the restriction on turnover of zero-rated supply of goods to 1.5 times the value of like goods domestically supplied by the same or, similarly placed, supplier, as declared by the supplier, imposed by amendment in definition of the "Turnover of zero-rated supply of goods" vide Notification No. 16/2020-Central Tax dated 23.03.2020, would also apply for computation of "Adjusted Total Turnover" in the formula given under Rule 89 (4) of CGST Rules, 2017 for calculation of admissible refund amount.

**4.2** Sub-rule (4) of Rule 89 prescribes the formula for computing the refund of unutilised ITC payable on account of zero-rated supplies made without payment of tax. The formula prescribed under Rule 89 (4) is reproduced below, as under:

Ì

GAPPL/ADC/GSTD/404/2022

the while

"Refund Amount = (Turnover of zero-rated supply of goods + Turnover of zero-rated supply of services) x Net ITC ÷Adjusted Total Turnover"

**4.3** Adjusted Total Turnover has been defined in clause (E) of sub-rule (4) of Rule 89 as under:

"Adjusted Total Turnover" means the sum total of the value of- (a) the turnover in a State or a Union territory, as defined under clause (112) of section 2, excluding the turnover of services; and (b) the turnover of zerorated supply of services determined in terms of clause (D) above and non-zero-rated supply of services, excluding- (i) the value of exempt supplies other than zero-rated supplies; and (ii) the turnover of supplies in respect of which refund is claimed under sub-rule (4A) or sub-rule (4B) or both, if any, during the relevant period.'

**4.4** "Turnover in state or turnover in Union territory" as referred to in the definition of "Adjusted Total Turnover" in Rule 89 (4) has been defined under sub-section (112) of Section 2 of CGST Act 2017, as: "Turnover in State or turnover in Union territory" means the aggregate value of all taxable supplies (excluding the value of inward supplies on which tax is payable by a person on reverse charge basis) and exempt supplies made within a State or Union territory by a taxable person, exports of goods or services or both and inter State supplies of goods or services or both made from the State or Union territory by the said taxable person but excludes central tax, State tax, Union territory tax, integrated tax and cess"

4.5 From the examination of the above provisions, it is noticed that "Adjusted Total Turnover" includes "Turnover in a State or Union Territory", as defined in Section 2(112) of CGST Act. As per Section 2(112), "Turnover in a State or Union Territory" includes turnover/ value of export/ zero-rated supplies of goods. The definition of "Turnover of zero-rated supply of goods" has been amended vide Notification No.16/2020-Central Tax dated 23.03.2020, as detailed above. In view of the above, it can be stated that the same value of zero-rated/ export supply of goods, as calculated as per amended definition of "Turnover of zero-rated supply of goods", need to be taken into consideration while calculating "turnover in a state or a union territory", and accordingly, in "adjusted total turnover" for the purpose of sub-rule (4) of Rule 89. Thus, the restriction of 150% of the value of like goods domestically supplied, as applied in "turnover of zero-rated supply of goods", would also apply to the value of "Adjusted Total Turnover" in Rule 89 (4) of the CGST Rules, 2017.

**4.6** Accordingly, it is clarified that for the purpose of Rule of value of export/ zero rated supply of goods to be include

5

calculating "adjusted total turnover" will be same as being determined as per the amended definition of "Turnover of zero-rated supply of goods" in the said sub-rule.

Applying the above clarification, the value of turnover of zero rated supply of goods taken towards turnover of zero rated supply of goods need to be taken same value in adjusted total turnover for zero rated supply of goods also in the formula.

7. Further, I find that as per definition of adjusted total turnover, defined in clause (E) of sub-rule (4) of Rule 89, the adjusted total turnover includes value of all outward supplies of goods and services made during the relevant period including zero rated (export) supply of goods but exclude value of inward supplies which are liable to reverse charge. Thus, in the formula prescribed under Rule 89 (4) of CGST Rules the value of zero rated turnover of goods comes at numerator as well as in total adjusted turnover at denominator. In identical cases of refund the above Circular envisage to adopt the same value of export/zero rated supply of goods in turnover of zero rated supply of goods as well as in adjusted total turnover in the formula. In the present matter, the value of zero rated turnover is considered as FOB value as per shipping bill by the appellant/department. However, the value of zero rated turnover in adjusted turnover is taken as per GSTR 3B; which imply that turnover of zero rated supply in adjusted total turnover is taken as invoice value. Apparently, this result in adopting two different values for same zero rated supply of goods, which I find is factually wrong method and not in consonance with Circular above. Therefore, I am of the considered view that the same value of zero rated supply of goods taken as turnover of zero rated supply of goods need to be taken in adjusted total turnover also towards value of zero rated (export) supply of goods.

**8.** Further, I find that *Appellant/Department* has referred the Notification No. 14/2022-Central Tax dated 05.07.2022 issued by the CBIC in the present appeal proceedings. The relevant portion of Notification is reproduced as under :

G.S.R... (E). -In exercise of the powers conferred by section 164 of the Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 (12 of 2017), the Central Government, on the recommendations of the Council, hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Central Goods and Services Tax Rules, 2017, namely

8. In the said rules, in fule

(c) in sub-rule (4), the following Explanation shall be inserted, namely: -"Explanation. – For the purposes of this sub-rule, the value of goods exported out of India shall be taken as -(i) the Free on Board (FOB) value declared in the Shipping Bill or Bill of Export form, as the case may be, as per the Shipping Bill and Bill of Export (Forms) Regulations, 2017; or (ii) the value declared in tax invoice or bill of supply,

# whichever is less."

In the present matter I find that the Respondent has considered the FOB value for the Zero rated Turnover in the RFD-01 i.e. refund applications and the *department/appellant* is not disputing about the same in the present appeal. However, the department is disputing about the value of adjusted total turnover only.

In view of the above discussions, I do not find any force in 9. the contentions of the appellant/department. Accordingly, I find that the impugned order passed by the adjudicating authority is correct and as per the provisions of GST law. Therefore, I do not find any reasons to interfere with the decision taken by the adjudicating authority vide "impugned order" and accordingly, I reject the appeal filed by the appellant/department.

अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।

The appeal filed by the appellant/department stands disposed of in above terms.

Superintender

By R.P.A.D. To, The Assistant / Deputy Commissioner, CGST, Division - V, Ahmedabad South.

Millir Rayka) Additional Commissioner (Appeals)



Respondent

M/s. Swastik Enterprise, 46, Road No. 2, GIDC, Kathwada, Ahmedabad - 382 430

#### Copy to:

- The Principal Chief Commissioner of Central Tax, Ahmedabad Zone. 1.
- The Commissioner, CGST & C. Ex., Appeals, Ahmedabad. 2.
- 3. The Commissioner, CGST & C. Ex., Ahmedabad-South.
- The Dy/Assistant Commissioner, CGST, Division-V, Ahmedabad South. 4.
- The Superintendent (Systems), CGST & C. Ex., Appeals, Ahmedabad. 5.
- 6 Guard File. / P.A. File

